



## **SOLPART**

### **High Temperature Solar-Heated Reactors for Industrial Production of Reactive Particulates**

**European funded project - Grant Agreement number 654663**

#### **Deliverable 6.1**

**WP6 – Environmental life cycle assessment**

**Deliverable 6.1 Report on environmental impacts of the solar cement system**

**Date of Delivery: 1 July 2019**

**Deliverable Author(s): Dr Marco Tomatis, Dr Harish Jeswani, Dr Joan Fernandez Mendoza, Dr Alejandro Gallego Schmid, Dr Laurence Stamford and Prof. Adisa Azapagic**



## **1. Introduction and objectives**

The main objectives of this report are to present the results of life cycle assessment (LCA) of the components designed as part of the SOLPART project, to propose eco-design options and to provide the methodological framework for scaling up of LCA results for laboratory and pilot plants to a commercial scale.

LCA is a standardized method to quantify the environmental impacts of products or services and is often used to estimate the potential impacts of both market-scale and emerging technologies (Cucurachi et al. 2018, Lacirignola et al. 2017). Therefore, in this report, LCA is used to determine the environmental impacts of the components designed for the SOLPART project according to a cradle-to-grave basis. These components include: fluidized bed reactor (laboratory scale); rotary kiln (laboratory scale); fluidized bed reactor (pilot scale); heliostat (commercial scale); and receiver tower (commercial scale).

Eco-design allows developers to identify hotspots in the manufacturing of products and technologies and, thus, to select alternative material or processes in order to reduce the environmental impacts (ISO 2011, Poudelet et al. 2012). In this report, the principles of eco-design are applied to laboratory and pilot scale components in order to identify the environmentally sustainable material for construction of different components. These findings will then help in selecting the appropriate materials while designing commercial facilities.

To further facilitate the application of eco-design principles in the design of commercial scale facilities, it is important to have a framework to scale-up the LCA results obtained for laboratory and pilot testing. This report describes an LCA scaling up framework designed to estimate the materials and energy necessary for the construction and operation of commercial scale solar reactors. This framework will be applied to determine the potential impacts of the SOLPART system integrated in Alicante's cement production plant.

## **2. Conclusions**

As part of this report, the life cycle environmental impacts of five components developed for the SOLPART system were assessed. These included: fluidized bed reactor (laboratory scale); rotary kiln (laboratory scale); fluidized bed reactor (pilot scale); heliostat (commercial scale); and receiver tower (commercial scale). This analysis allowed identifying the hotspots for each component and, potentially, it will allow the design engineer to determine where it would be necessary to intervene to reduce the overall environmental impact. For example, it was observed that reducing the use of water and diesel used for cleaning could reduce significantly the impact of the heliostats' operation, while dematerialization, where possible, would help decreasing the impact of both the tower and the solar field. Regarding the impact of the three reactors considered the main contributors were the alloy used to manufacture the body of the reactor and the storage.

Eco-design analyses were conducted to identify the alternative materials for the solar reactors. The analysis showed that the use steel 304L instead of other steel alloys would significantly reduce the environmental impacts associated with construction of the reactors.

The scaling up methodology has been developed which would be used to estimate the LCA impacts of commercial scale components (such as the solar reactor and tower) using the LCA results for laboratory and pilot scale studies. . This methodology has been designed specifically for the SOLPART project, but it is general enough to be applied to any project.

The integration of the SOLPART system in cement production plant as well as validation of the scaling up methodology by comparing results achieved with the commercial scale components will be discussed in D6.2.

**For more information, please contact SOLPART Coordinator (CNRS-PROMES):  
gilles.flamant@promes.cnrs.fr**

## References

- Bocken, N., Short, S., Rana, P., Evans, S., 2013. A value mapping tool for sustainable business modelling. *Corporate Governance* 13(5): 482-497.
- Boateng, A.A., 2016. The Rotary Kiln Evolution and Phenomenon. *Rotary Kilns*: 1-11.
- Broadbent, C., 2016. Steel's recyclability: demonstrating the benefits of recycling steel to achieve a circular economy. *The International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment* 21(11): 1658-1665. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11367-016-1081-1>.
- Byggeth, S., Broman, G., Robèrt, K-H., 2007. A method for sustainable product development based on a modular system of guiding questions. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 15(1): 1-11.
- Ceschin, F., 2012. The introduction and scaling up of sustainable Product-Service Systems. A new role for strategic design for sustainability. PhD Thesis.
- Cooper, D.R., Gutowski, T.G., Prospective Environmental Analyses of Emerging Technology: A Critique, a Proposed Methodology, and a Case Study on Incremental Sheet Forming. *Journal of Industrial Ecology*, Early View.
- Crul, M.R.M., Diehl, J.C., 2009. Design for sustainability: A step by step approach. Paris; Delft, the Netherlands: United Nations Environmental Programme (UNEP) and Delft University of Technology.
- Cucurachi, S., van der Giesen, C., Guinée, J., 2018. Ex-ante LCA of Emerging Technologies. *Procedia CIRP* 69: 463-468. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procir.2017.11.005>.
- Ecoinvent, 2018. Ecoinvent (Zurich, Switzerland).
- European Aluminium, 2016. Recycling Aluminium: a pathways to a sustainable future. Available at [https://www.european-aluminium.eu/media/1712/ea\\_recycling-brochure-2016.pdf](https://www.european-aluminium.eu/media/1712/ea_recycling-brochure-2016.pdf)
- European Commission, 2018. EU Construction and Demolition Waste Protocol and Guidelines. Available at [https://ec.europa.eu/growth/content/eu-construction-and-demolition-waste-protocol-0\\_en](https://ec.europa.eu/growth/content/eu-construction-and-demolition-waste-protocol-0_en)
- European Union 2008. *Directive 2008/98/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council*. Official Journal of the European Union, 312/3: p. 3-30.

European Union 2019. Waste Electrical & Electronic Equipment. E. Union. Official Journal of the European Union.

EMF 2017. The Circular Design Guide. <https://www.circulardesignguide.com/>. Accessed: June 2018.

Gavankar, S., Suh, S., Keller, A.A., 2015. The Role of Scale and Technology Maturity in Life Cycle Assessment of Emerging Technologies: A Case Study on Carbon Nanotubes. *Journal of Industrial Ecology* 19(1): 51-60.

Hetherington, A.C., Borrion, A.L., Griffiths, O.G., McManus, M.C., 2014. Use of LCA as a development tool within early research: challenges and issues across different sectors. *Int J Life Cycle Assess* 19: 130–143.

Holt, S. P., Berge, N.D., 2018. Life-cycle assessment of using liquid hazardous waste as an alternative energy source during Portland cement manufacturing: A United States case study. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 195: 1057-1068. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.05.214>.

Hospido, A., Davis, J., Berlin, J., Sonesson, U., 2010. A review of methodological issues affecting LCA of novel food products. *Int J Life Cycle Assess* 15: 44–52.

ISO 14006, 2011. Environmental management systems -- Guidelines for incorporating eco-design. Geneva.

Kelly, B.D., 2010. Advanced Thermal Storage for Central Receivers with Supercritical Coolants, Abengoa Solar Inc. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.2172/981926>.

Lacirignola, M., Blanc, P., Girard, R., Perez-Lopez, P., Blanc, I., 2017. LCA of emerging technologies: addressing high uncertainty on inputs' variability when performing global sensitivity analysis. *Science of the Total Environment* 578: 268-280. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.10.066>.

Manfredi, S., Allacker, K., Chomkham Sri, K., Pelletier, N., de Souza, D.M. 2012. Product Environmental Footprint (PEF) Guide. European Commission (EC), Joint Research Centre (JRC). Available at <http://ec.europa.eu/environment/eussd/pdf/footprint/PEF%20methodology%20final%20draft.pdf>

Miller, S.A., Keoleian, G.A., 2015. Framework for Analyzing Transformative Technologies in Life Cycle Assessment. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 49: 3067–3075.

Mistry, M., Gediga, J., Boonzaier, S., 2016. Life cycle assessment of nickel products. *The International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment* 21(11): 1559-1572. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11367-016-1085-x>.

NASA, 2012. Technology Readiness

[https://www.nasa.gov/directorates/heo/scan/engineering/technology/txt\\_accordion1.html](https://www.nasa.gov/directorates/heo/scan/engineering/technology/txt_accordion1.html). Accessed: June 2018.

NDA, 2014. Guide to Technology Readiness Levels for the NDA Estate and its Supply Chain. Nuclear Decommissioning Authority (NDA). Cumbria, UK.

Ny, H., Hallstedt, S., Robèrt, K-H., Broman, G., 2018. Introducing Templates for Sustainable Product Development - A Case Study of Televisions at the Matsushita Electric Group. *Journal of Industrial Ecology* 12(4): 600-623.

Piccinno, F., Hischier, R., Seeger, S., Som, C., 2016. From laboratory to industrial scale: a scale-up framework for chemical processes in life cycle assessment studies. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 135:1085-1097.

Piccinno, F., Hischier, r., Seeger, S., Soma, C., 2018. Predicting the environmental impact of a future nanocellulose production at industrial scale: Application of the life cycle assessment scale-up framework. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 174: 283-295.

Poudelet, V., Chayer, J.-A., Margni, M., Pellerin, R., Samson, R., 2012. A process-based approach to operationalize life cycle assessment through the development of an eco-design decision-support system. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 33: 192-201. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2012.04.005>.

Sansom, M., Meijer, J., 2013. Life-cycle assessment (LCA) for steel construction, Directorate-General for Research and Innovation (European Commission).

Shibasaki, M., Fischer, M., Barthel, L., 2009. Effects on Life Cycle Assessment – Scale Up of Processes. CIRP Conference on Life Cycle Engineering.

Srilakshmi, G., Ramaswamy, M.A., Thirumalai, N.C., 2016. Design of Solar Field and Performance Estimation of Solar Tower Plants. CSTEP.

Simon, B., Krystyna, B., Kilic, A., Amor, B., Weil, M., 2016. Proposal of a Framework for Scale-Up Life Cycle Inventory: A Case of Nanofibers for Lithium Iron Phosphate Cathode Applications. *Integrated Environmental Assessment and Management* 12(3): 465–477.

Steel recycling institute (2009). Steel Takes LEED® with Recycled Content. Available at [https://www.steelsustainability.org/Recycling%20Resources/~/\\_media/Files/SRI/Media%20Center/LEEDNov09.ashx](https://www.steelsustainability.org/Recycling%20Resources/~/_media/Files/SRI/Media%20Center/LEEDNov09.ashx).

TU Delft, 2013. Delft Design Guide: Design Methods - Delft University of Technology - Faculty of Industrial Design Engineering.